UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,617	05/23/2007	Bas Driesen	Driesen 5-3	6193
	7590 09/14/201 N & LEWIS, LLP	EXAMINER		
1300 POST RO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	GU, YU		
SUITE 205 FAIRFIELD, C	Т 06824		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/14/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/562,617	DRIESEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	YU (Andy) GU	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the slipset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second con	sideration and/or search (see NOTw); er form for appeal by materially rec	TE below);				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
<ul> <li>11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).</li> </ul>						
13.  Other:						
/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/YU (Andy) GU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner notes that the Applicant's amendment is for clarification purposes only and it does not change the scope of the claim, therefore the claims are entered. However, the Examiner does not believe that the claims are allowable in view of the following remarks. First, the Examiner's characterization (i.e. "non-contiguous" does not mean non-adjacent, but rather non-repetitive) of the prior art Ma'586 (US 20070064586 A1) is based on the Ma' 586 (e.g. see at least Ma'586 paragraph [0115], e.g. "a respective set of non-overlapping sub-carriers..."). Furthermore, instant claim does not require consecutively numbered subcarriers for each subcarrier subgroup, but merely "adjacent subcarriers". According the dictionary.com, adjacent can be taken to mean "lying near, close", and as such the Examiner maintains that Ma'255 discloses adjacent subcarriers, and therefore, the rejection of claim 1 based on the disclosure of Perahia, Ma'586 and Ma' 255 are maintained.